

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patenit and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/604,796	06/27/2000	Kyeong Jin Kim	.8733.20134	4009	
30827	7590 02/06/2003			•	
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP			EXAMINER		
1900 K STRE WASHINGTO	ET, NW ON, DC 20006		RUDE, TIM	RUDE, TIMOTHY L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2871		
DA			DATE MAILED: 02/06/2003	}	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				De			
,		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	Advisory Action	09/604,796	KIM ET AL.				
navious y nauen		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Timothy L Rude	2871				
	7he MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 14 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
	PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(t	o) $\square$ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(0	<ul><li>they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:</li></ul>	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claii	ns.			
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment			
5.🖂	The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☒ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NO	OT place the			
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly			
7.🖂	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	· / /:	•	and an			
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
	Claim(s) allowed:						
	Claim(s) objected to:						
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-37</u> .						
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Exan	niner.			
9.	☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10.	0. Other:						
_		<del></del>					

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The proposed amendment corrects the specification and overcomes an objection to the drawings/specification, but does not amend any claims, and does not overcome claim rejections under 35 USC 103a. Regarding Applicant's traverse based upon the contention that Examiner's citing of a photoresist reading on a dielectric is official notice, it is respectfully pointed out that a photoresisit is well known in the art of liquid crystals generally as a polymer (numerous polymeric substances are used as phororesists) and polymers are dielectrics as a matter of their physical properties For conveninence, page 13-12 of the CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics along with its copyright and other identifying information (3 pages) are appended. Therefore, the amendment does not place the application in condition for allowance.

TOANTON PRIMARY EXAMINER